

Appl. No. 10/795,835
Amdt. dated May 18th, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 19th, 2005

REMARKS:

Claims 1-33 are pending in this case. Claims 33-37 have been canceled.

In the office action mailed April 19th, 2005, the Examiner made a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121.

The current patent application has been reviewed in light of the aforementioned office action. Although Applicant respectfully traverses the current restriction requirement, Applicant respectfully elects to continue prosecution of claims 1-32 in the current application. Applicant reserves the right to present canceled claims 33-37 in a divisional patent application.

Appl. No. 10/795,835
Amdt. dated May 18th, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 19th, 2005

CONCLUSION:

Applicant respectfully requests that prosecution of claims 1-32 continue in the current application. Applicant believes claims 1-32 are now in condition for allowance and favorable action in this regard is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michelle C. Craig

Michelle C. Craig
Reg. No. 52,776

Dated: *5/18/05*

c/o
Berkeley Law and Technology Group
5250 NE Elam Young Parkway
Suite 850
Hillsboro, OR 97124